116

Present:-

CWP No.18054 of 2015

Harbir Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others

Mr. Sunil Chadhar, Senior Advocate with

Ms. Swati Verma, Advocate

for the petitioner.

Mr. Aalok Jagga, Advocate

for the caveator (Respondent No.4)

Notice of motion be issued to the respondents.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Monica Chhibber Sharma, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 and Mr. Aayush Arora, Advocate, who is on the panel of respondent No.-3, accepts notice on its behalf. Since respondent No.4 is a caveator and represented by counsel, he is obviously stands rved.

A copy of the writ petition be supplied to each learned counsel for the respondents, during the course of the day.

Respondents No.1 to 3 would produce the record pertaining to promotions made in the year 1989, of S. Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta and Gurnam Singh, as also the total number of sanctioned posts of Assistant Engineers and vacancies therein, specifically showing the number of posts falling to the quota of direct recruits and to J.Es by promotion, as were available on the date that the aforesaid S.Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta and Gurnam Singh were promoted. Record pertaining the number of posts facant and falling to the share of direct recruits and promotees, as on the date of appointment of the petitioner as an Assistant Engineer, be also produced by the respondents.

Adjourned to 16.10.2015.

It is not in dispute that neither the petitioner nor respondent No.4 are presently in the zone of consideration for promotion to the posts of Chief Engineer. However, status quo with regard to seniority be maintained, till the next date of hearing only, at this stage.

August 28, 2015 vcgarg/dinesh

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

VIKAS CHANDER 2015.09.01 15:23 I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document