

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP No. 18352 of 2010

Date of Decision: December 5, 2011

Didar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA**

Present: Mr. Sanjay Kaushal, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Onkar Singh Batalvi, Central Govt. Standing Counsel,  
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Suvir Sehgal, Addl. AG, Punjab,  
for respondent Nos. 2 to 4.

Mr. Johny Goyal, Advocate,  
for Mr. H.S. Brar, Advocate,  
for respondent No. 5.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**M.M. KUMAR, J.**

Mr. Sanjay Kaushal, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has instructions not to press the instant petition at this stage because adequate measures are being taken by the respondents. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as not pressed.

**(M.M. KUMAR)  
JUDGE**

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)  
JUDGE**

**December 5, 2011  
Pkapoor**